## **DESIGN REVIEW COMMENT AND RESOLUTION FORM**

 PROJECT NO.:
 607411

 DESCRIPTION:
 Tisbury- Beach Road

 DESIGNER:
 GPI

Massachusetts Department of Transportation Highway Division

CONSULTANT EVALUATION SCORE: 6

DATE: January 20, 2016

REVIEWER NAME: Mark Kolonoski

SUBMITTAL: 25% Resubmission- APPROVED

REVIEW SECTION: Environmental

PROJ. MANAGER Tom Currier

NO.	SHEET OR ITEM	COMMENT	INITIAL ACTION	RESPONSE	QC REVIEW INITIAL	FINAL ACTION VERIFIED
COMPLETED BY REVIEWER			COMPLETED BY DESIGNER			
1	GEN	The Designer has provided appropriate evidence of early environmental coordination. The DE is asked to forward any response to Environmental Services- Attn: Mark Kolonoski upon receipt.	А	All responses will be forwarded.		
2	GEN	The response to EEC Checklist Item 10 (Wetland Resource Areas) indicates that the project is within 100' of a salt marsh. However, the revised 25% plans, which include a 9/24/15 deliniation by Nover-Armstrong, do not include the identification of salt marsh. The DE is aked to verify whether or not salt marsh is located within or directly adjacent to the project area.	В	The salt marsh was identified on MassGIS "Oliver" mapping. It does not appear to be within the project limits.	CLS	
3	GEN	The DE submitted a draft CE with the original 25% design. The DE is asked to provide an updated project description with details regarding the multi-use path. This description can be provided as a stand alone document and does not need to be inserted onto the CE template. The description can be forwarded to Mark.Kolonoski@dot.state.ma.us.	A	A revised CE Checklist will be provided with the 75% Submittal.	JFO	
4	GEN	The EEC indicates that the project will require permitting with the ACOE pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. However, work in water is not shown on the 25% redesign submission. The DE is asked to provide clarification regarding this matter.	А	It is our understanding that because the entire project is within "Barrier Beach" and there are impacts to "Dune" areas then the project is subject to permitting under section 404 of the Clean Water Act. Please advise if this is not the case.	JFO	
5	GEN	If the project does involve WIW, it will requrie a CZM consistency letter; even if an ENF is not requried. A draft CZM consistency letter should be included in the 75% design submission if deemed necessary.	А	A draft CZM consistency letter will be submitted with the Draft NOI submission.	JFO	

6	GEN	The entire project is located within FEMA designated flood zone AE. Given the installation of the sidewalk north of Beach Rd, which is currently vegetated, there is reason to believe the project may result in a loss of flood storage. The DE is aked to to verify whether or not there will be a loos of flood storage and, if so, identify a compensation area on the 75% design submission.	А	The sidewalk width will gradually be decreased from 6.5 ft to 5.5 ft after the driveway at Sta 21+00 LT and down to 4.5 ft around Sta 23+00 LT until it meets the driveway at Sta 24+40 LT. The purpose for this is to reduce any loss of flood storage. We are providing dune restoration in the beach area as desired by CZM.	JFO	
7	GEN	The project will require the filing of a NOI with the Tisbury Conservation Commission. A draft NOI must be submitted to MassDOT no later than with the 75% design. The 75% design submission will not be considered complete without a draft NOI.	A	A draft NOI will be submitted to MassDOT for review shortly following the 75% Design submittal.	JFO	
8	GEN	The project is currently being reviewd by MassDOT's Stormwater Unit. Any comments or concerns will be sent to the PM in the coming weeks.	N/A	No response necessary		
9	GEN	The project will require a Section 106 effect finding issued by MassDOT's Cultural Resources Unit. CRU is currently reviewing the design and will provide the PM with any comments or concerns in the coming weeks.	N/A	No response necessary		