

PLANNING BOARD MINUTES
Wednesday, January 18, 2023 – 5:00 PM
ZOOM MEETING

TOWN OF TISBURY
P.O. BOX 602
TOWN HALL ANNEX
VINEYARD HAVEN, MASSACHUSETTS 02568
(508) 696-4270 | Fax (508) 696-7341
www.tisburyma.gov

IN ATTENDANCE:

Benjamin Robinson – Chair, Cheryl Doble – Clerk, Connie Alexander, Casey Hayward*, Elaine Miller*

STAFF/MVC:

Dan Doyle – Special Projects Planner/Martha's Vineyard Commission (MVC), Jonathan Silverstein – Planning Board Counsel for Special Permits, Amy Upton – Tisbury Planning Board Administrator

OTHERS:

Lyndsay Famariss – Steering Committee Chair, Susannah Bristol – Steering Committee Member, Phil Hale, Louisa Hufstader – Vineyard Gazette

**Members that arrived later: Casey Hayward arrived at 5:10 PM. Elaine Miller arrived at 5:24 PM and left at 6:57 PM.*

Chair Benjamin Robinson called the January 18, 2023 Tisbury Planning Board (TPB) meeting to order at 5:03 PM. Due to ongoing efforts to prevent spread of Covid-19 this meeting was held remotely via Zoom platform. The Public could attend and participate in the meeting by the following method:

-Join Zoom Meeting <https://us06web.zoom.us/j/82221362906> Meeting ID: 822 2136 2906

-One tap mobile +16468769923,,82221362906# US (New York)

(Recorder's Note: Discussions are summarized and grouped for clarity and brevity).

MINUTES: November 30, 2022, December 14, 2022, December 29, 2022

CONNIE ALEXANDER, AT 8:33 PM, MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES FOR NOVEMBER 30, 2022, DECEMBER 14, 2022 AND DECEMBER 29, 2022, WITH EDITS AS DISCUSSED. SAID MOTION WAS SECONDED BY CHERYL DOBLE. THE BOARD VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION: 4 AYES, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSTENTIONS. MS. ALEXANDER—AYE, MS. DOBLE—AYE, MS. HAYWARD—AYE & MR. ROBINSON—AYE.

APPOINTMENTS:

Chair Robinson said the Planning Board would recess the regularly scheduled meeting on January 18, 2023 in order to hold the following Public Hearing. At the conclusion or continuation of the Hearing, the Planning Board would resume the regular meeting to conclude all unfinished business.

6:00 PM:

Public Hearing (Cont.) – Special Permit Application for Patrick Lyons, 16 Union Street, AP 07D10

Members Present by roll call: Chair Robinson, C. Alexander, C. Doble, C. Hayward, E. Miller

Others: Sam Dunn – Developer and Owner Representative, Louisa Hufstader, Jonathan Silverstein, Amy Upton, Hezekiah Pratt, John Ryder, Nevin Sayre, Peter and Nancy Stam, Will Stevens, Scott Young, Susan LeMoie-Zarba

THE CONTINUATION OF THE HEARING COMMENCED IN DUE FORM AT 6:07 PM.

Documents on file as referred to during the meeting: None.

Chair Robinson said the MVC had concluded their hearings and was holding the written record open to give the TPB the opportunity to add anything into the record regarding that restaurant. He said as a Board, we still needed to issue a Special Permit for this project and had broad authority; if something was missed at the Commission level, we could resolve it in our own process.

C. Doble read from her template the list she compiled of outstanding issues and discussion points:

1. Integration of the outdoor restaurant within the context of the site.
2. The number of seats in the restaurant and congestion of the walkways due to in-service crossings and waiting patrons.
3. Access to the walkway and that it remained a public pathway, not just access to the restaurant.
4. Impact on residential areas including noise, fumes, congestion and rodents from the restaurant.
5. Impact of increased solid waste due to use of disposables and how that would be managed.
6. A clearer understanding of how the applicant proposed to decrease the waste stream.
7. Vehicular access and service to the site, and the disruption of pedestrian activity.
8. Maintenance of the common areas including when not in use, the shoulder and off season/s.

Discussion:

- ❖ **E. Miller** was concerned over the traffic and congestion issues of the pathway to the center of Town. She did not want to pre-empt a problem but wanted to have the ability to address it, if it became an issue; how to write that into condition that would say: *it would need to be addressed to the satisfaction, how to deal and how to address at time of occurrence, who would make that declaration and what would be required for an adjustment.*
- ❖ **C. Alexander** expressed her concerns for the modifications from the initial Special Permit including overburdening the Town, density, congestion, loss of views & vistas and green space [which were part of the bylaw] and asked if it was worth so much of a change. The original plan included a walking path from Union Street to Main Street with a pocket park, a quiet oasis in Town – that was no longer the case. She asked the letter to the MVC reflect her thoughts on this and that the first part of the letter explain the continued TPB concerns for this expanded use of the property; and if the MVC approved the project, we would like these conditions added to the document.
- ❖ **Chair Robinson** said his biggest concern was solid waste, the most critical item to get right; moving the restaurant operation in the right direction would have huge public benefits.
- ❖ **C. Doble** said the seats would determine impact on congestion of the public pathway and waste & water management; the way to address issues would be to reduce seats. She wanted to ensure the pathway was designed for public circulation and not just access to the restaurant.
- ❖ **E. Miller** said conditions could be set to ensure it flowed comfortably with the needs of the Town; enforcement to address traffic and congestion issues on the pathway/s. She didn't want to squash this project, but to work in partnership so that it became successful and benefited the Town and community.
- ❖ **S. Dunn** said with respect to congestion, he could not promise anything one way or another; he said it was almost paranoid that congestion would be a problem. He compared it to Main Street, a lively scene and hoped that people would enjoy it.
- ❖ **C. Alexander** asked for written documentation to show that condo owners were notified about the full service bar; **C. Doble** asked **S. Dunn** to submit the final project document for the record.
- ❖ **C. Hayward** asked for the bar's closing time and if there would be amplified music. **S. Dunn** said closing time would be 10:30 PM; there were no plans for live music; the sound system design was unknown.

Neighbor **John Ryder**, at 6:37 PM, said he had been working with **S. Dunn** throughout; there was no ill will, but he was concerned over the size. He asked that the fencing along the **Stam** property line be refined. He was concerned about vermin as this was an urban/in-town setting. He suggested making the trash room rodent proof and to put odor controls into the cooking area - he was surprised that was not already done and part of the design. The concept of a bar next to the ferry didn't fit well with him; he asked that reasonable light and noise levels be written into the Special Permit.

Abutter **Peter Stam**, at 6:40 PM, said he was pleased with the fencing design, with one minor change. He said rodent control was a big issue already, and the planned waste removal of 3 times a week was not enough; he recommended it be daily. He was concerned about noise, especially when the restaurant staff were communicating between the pickup window and diners - he didn't want a Public Address (PA) System. He underscored that downward focus lighting should be used; bare bulbs were an issue. **S. Dunn** explained the intended light placements as it related to the hedge; **P. Stam** acknowledged the hedge but said that was not the solution; he looked forward to all final solutions in writing.

S. Dunn was unsure why he was being asked to replenish his funds in the 53-G Account; **Chair Robinson** and **J. Silverstein** said legal services were anticipated to be most of the future expenses.

It was suggested that **Mr. Lyons** should attend a meeting for introductions and to answer some of these questions; **S. Dunn** said **Mr. Lyons** was the building owner, the sales contract had been sent to the TPB.

Chair Robinson said he would write up what had been discussed and share with the Board [for review] before sending it to the MVC; the deadline to submit was next week.

CHERYL DOBLE, AT 6:54 PM, MOVED TO CONTINUE THE HEARING ON FEBRUARY 15, 2023 AT 6:00 PM. SAID MOTION WAS SECONDED BY ELAINE MILLER. THE BOARD VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION: 4 AYES, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSTENTIONS. MS. ALEXANDER—AYE, MS. DOBLE—AYE, MS. MILLER—AYE & MR. ROBINSON—AYE.

***Casey Hayward joined the TPB as a new member after this hearing began and was not able to vote.*

Chair Robinson said the Planning Board would recess the regularly scheduled meeting on January 18, 2023 in order to hold the following Deliberation. At the conclusion or continuation of the Deliberation, the Planning Board would resume the regular meeting to conclude all unfinished business.

6:00 PM:

Deliberations (Cont.) – Special Permit Application for Geoff Rose (Patient Centric), Mechanics Way, AP 22A19.2 Tisbury Bylaw Section 05.23

Members Present by roll call: Chair Robinson, C. Alexander, C. Doble, C. Hayward, E. Miller

Others: Geoff Rose – Applicant, Louisa Hufstader, Jonathan Silverstein, Amy Upton,

Sam Dunn, Hezekiah Pratt, John Ryder, Nevin Sayre, Peter and Nancy Stam, Will Stevens, Scott Young,

Susan LeMoie-Zarba

THE DELIBERATIONS COMMENCED IN DUE FORM AT 6:00 PM.

Documents on file as referred to during the meeting:

Patient Centric Decision w Edtis from TC

Chair Robinson screen shared the latest draft with language updates from **J. Silverstein**.

G. Rose asked for clarification of the determination for the three year renewal cycle versus the five year renewal cycle. Members discussed how to move forward as there was no precedent for this type of Special Permit. **Chair Robinson** referenced McCurdy Motors and their specific renewal process of five years; he said there were enough controls in place to choose the longer period of time, if there were issues the renewal period could be modified then. All were in agreement for five years to keep things consistent.

CHERYL DOBLE, AT 6:05 PM, MOVED TO ACCEPT THE WRITTEN DECISION AS WRITTEN. SAID MOTION WAS SECONDED BY CONNIE ALEXANDER. THE BOARD VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION: 4 AYES, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSTENTIONS. MS. ALEXANDER—AYE, MS. DOBLE—AYE, MS. MILLER—AYE & MR. ROBINSON—AYE.

CONNIE ALEXANDER, AT 6:06 PM, MOVED TO CLOSE THE DELIBERATIONS. SAID MOTION WAS SECONDED BY CHERYL DOBLE. THE BOARD VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION: 4 AYES, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSTENTIONS. MS. ALEXANDER—AYE, MS. DOBLE—AYE, MS. MILLER—AYE & MR. ROBINSON—AYE.

***Casey Hayward joined the TPB as a new member after this hearing began and was not able to vote.*

Chair Robinson said the Planning Board would recess the regularly scheduled meeting on January 18, 2023 in order to hold the following Public Hearing. At the conclusion or continuation of the Hearing, the Planning Board would resume the regular meeting to conclude all unfinished business.

6:30 PM:

Public Hearing (Cont.) -- Special Permit Application for Thomas L. and Patricia S. Bransford, 484 Main St., AP 4B17 Tisbury Bylaw Section 04.04.02

Members Present by roll call: Chair Robinson, C. Alexander, C. Doble, C. Hayward

Others: George Sourati – Engineer for Applicant, Hezekiah Pratt – Architect for Applicant,

Tom and Pat Bransford – Owners/Applicant, Fain Hackney – Reynolds, Rappaport, Kaplan & Hackney, LLC,

Cynthia Aguilar, Louisa Hufstader, Steve Kelly, Jonathan Silverstein, Amy Upton, Happy Sayre-McCord, Nevin

Sayre, Neil Smola, Peter and Nancy Stam, William Stevens, Michael Watts, Scott Young, Susan LeMoie-Zarba

THE CONTINUATION OF THE HEARING COMMENCED IN DUE FORM AT 6:57 PM.

Documents on file as referred to during the meeting:

484 Main - Bradford Guest House Plans 1.17.23.pdf

Chair Robinson acknowledged the correspondence that had been received and said it was part of the record; he recapped what was discussed at the last hearing and said the **Bransfords** had not responded to those issues and questions.

G. Sourati said **H. Pratt** was hired to redesign the building; the plans had been forwarded today; Members said they did not receive anything as of meeting time; **A. Upton** said as of 4:00 PM, they had not been received. **C. Doble** asked that all materials be received a few days before the meeting.

H. Pratt screen shared the new drawing and said the mass of the building had changed, the footprint had stayed the same. Other changes included reduction in the architectural features, minimizing the amount of trim; the addition of a pagoda and the increased deck area, including a ramp that was added for the first floor.

A lengthy discussion ensued over the new design plans, as the last meeting requested a reduced building size to minimize the impact on the neighborhood, it seemed the project had gone in a new direction. Members asked to see this new building footprint on a site plan as well as a comparison to the old plan/s; clarification was needed on how the shed fit into the total plan, including the anticipated use.

Fain Hackney at 7:25 PM, said the **Bransfords** were trying to get around the deed, connecting the shed structure to the house and remove a footprint. He asked if the TPB had read the letter he sent on January 17, 2023, which encouraged the Board to deny the application by the reasons set forth in the letter.

William Stevens at 7:26 PM, said he was concerned and felt this was a bait and switch, it was hard to comment as every time it was changing. He asked at what point did this become a new application. **Chair Robinson** said that new information extended the application; the process allowed the applicant to make changes along the way; the hearing would stay open long enough to allow the Public ample time to comment.

Michael Watts at 7:30 PM, challenged the calculation of square footage; he said this was a never ending, changing story and was a frustration for the people in the neighborhood. Originally it was going to be for family members, now it was a straight up rental.

T. and P. Bransford said the process was very helpful as they have learned a lot; they brought on a professional architect to design an appropriate building for their wooded lot; they were sorry everyone was getting confused; they said it was still intended for family members. They wanted to optimize the acre they had, a place to live and have their kids live while retaining as much of the woods and environment. **Chair Robinson** suggested looking at other bylaws that could be more appropriate.

Nevin Sayre at 7:34 PM said, the square footage was the key, the habitable area as defined in the deed. He realized it was not relevant to the TPB in terms of approval, but was defined in the deed holding up to their conservation restrictions. He asked why the two correspondences sent to the TPB had not been discussed; **Chair Robinson** explained the process was to receive all materials, they were on the record; those would then be used to inform the deliberations on the project and would come into consideration; the Board came into this process open minded. He said how square footage was defined was not part of this decision; **J. Silverstein** said square footage did not matter for zoning purposes.

CONNIE ALEXANDER, AT 7:41 PM, MOVED TO CONTINUE THE HEARING ON FEBRUARY 1, 2023 AT 6:00 PM. SAID MOTION WAS SECONDED BY CHERYL DOBLE. THE BOARD VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION: 4 AYES, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSTENTIONS. MS. ALEXANDER—AYE, MS. DOBLE—AYE, MS. HAYWARD—AYE & MR. ROBINSON—AYE.

★ *The Planning Board resumed their regularly scheduled session at 7:44 PM to address all unfinished business.*

BOARD DISCUSSIONS:

A. NEW BUSINESS

a. Master Plan (MP) updates

i. steering committee (SC) mtg. & next steps

❖ SURVEY:

D. Doyle said the water bills with the survey insert arrived last Friday; the survey window would remain open through February 7, 2023. Hard copies were available at the Vineyard Haven Public Library and The Tisbury Senior Center; the Gazette article included a hot-link. The Town will include the link with their e-alerts as well as posting it on the homepage. As of Tuesday there were 84 responses.

❖ UPCOMING MEETINGS AND RECAPS:

D. Doyle reminded everyone The Tisbury Master Plan Commercial District Presentations/Follow-ups would happen on February 13, 2023 at 5:00 PM and on February 16, 2023 at 6:30 PM; registration was strongly encouraged for these zoom sessions. In the effort to boost registration for the workshops, outreach over the next week/s would include SC members going door to door; this would be extended to the Board as well. He said there was value in knocking on doors and speaking directly with people, phone calls would be made as well. Direct emails and social media posts were also part of the outreach strategy. **Chair Robinson** suggested contacting the clerk's office to see if handing out flyers at the upcoming election would be a possibility.

C. Alexander said the language on the website was not clear enough and recommended changing the word 'presentation' to 'workshop'. She wanted everyone to be aware this would be a dynamic meeting and not just a presentation. **C. Doble** and **D. Doyle** would follow-up with the Consultants, as it was their language.

C. Doble said articles in both local papers assisted in communicating the importance of these critically important meetings; in making an effort to get people onto these sessions.

E. Miller said the TPB could do our part through conversations and emails; this process was developing a blueprint for how to move forward.

❖ CONSULTANT DELIVERABLES:

C. Doble recapped the conversation she had with **Judi Barrett** (Barrett Planning Group LLC, the Master Plan consulting team):

J. Barrett recommended bringing on to the team **Francis (Frank) X. Mahady**, Principal – FXM Associates, to work closely with Dodson & Flinker (part of the project team enlisted by Barrett Planning Group). He would bring significant and more strategic depth in the economic analysis; this was the time to do this, the timing for the overall MP process.

Mr. Mahady had knowledge of coastal communities; it was an important step to move forward. **J. Barrett** said one of the strengths of Tisbury was that it was a 'real' year round economy, an important part of the character of the community; he would bring value and be an important member of this team.

C. Doble said the Board adopted a 'wait and see' decision last summer as the estimate to hire an individual to address economic development was an additional \$50,000; the current estimate was \$20,000; Members discussed if there were funds in the budget to support this \$20,000 request.

S. Bristol said if **J. Barrett** was advocating for this position, she would support it; the incremental \$20,000 would be well spent.

SC Chair L. Famariss said her first choice was to say yes, if we could find the funds. She said economic questions and *where we go from here* has been a very vital part of meeting discussions; it would be helpful to have someone lead that effort, to educate the SC and the community for options.

Chair Robinson would add this to the February 1, 2023 meeting agenda for further budget review;

C. Doble encouraged research to be done before that meeting so a decision could be made quickly.

ii. Interview Phil Hale for Steering Committee membership

TPB: What drives a good committee?

P. Hale: Leadership and participation.

TPB: What do you think is the greatest challenge facing Tisbury today?

P. Hale: Trying to find ways to increase revenue without increasing taxes.

TPB: What motivates you to make this commitment; to prioritize and choose this over other committees or opportunities?

P. Hale: Civic responsibility, he felt that was important; he had been involved in the past and wanted to continue.

TPB: If there was a difference of opinion among the committee, how would you help arrive at consensus with the group, how would you solve it?

P. Hale: Listen and respect the other points of view, make yours clearly and at some point consensus will be achieved.

TPB: Do you have an example of a group that worked well, and what was your contribution to make them successful?

P. Hale: Leadership, participation, involvement. When he gets involved in the project, he attends every meeting and believes that when you make the commitment, you make the commitment; to put the time in, to do the homework, to listen, to arrive at an outcome.

E. Miller asked if there was a conflict as a business owner; we were looking for diversity.

P. Hale said he has been a resident since 1961 and attended Town Meetings since 1968; he has owned the family business for 36 years. As a small business owner, this is all you think and breathe, you cannot separate the two. His company had 27 employees, that was the perspective he brought to the table.

C. Doble said there was a process in place, State Ethics, to determine if there was a conflict of interest and how to behave.

C. Alexander asked for clarification of how many positions were being added to the SC, one or two?

Chair Robinson said this was a request from the SC, they put forth **P. Hale**; the SC would decide if they wanted/needed additional members.

S. Bristol presented **P. Hale** as a candidate, as he has been attending all of the meetings and has a long history with the Town.

SC Chair Famariss said the SC was lacking someone from the business community; he was a waterfront business owner that was interested in the SC. **P. Hale** brought experience and knowledge; he could step in and be helpful from the get-go; he ticked off several boxes at once; all SC members supported this candidate/recommendation.

C. Doble asked him to share what good leadership was, with examples.

P. Hale said good leadership started with the mission statement; what was the purpose of the organization, that drove the leadership. He recapped his time at SailMV and the challenges they had to overcome to move that organization forward; everyone pulled together in the same direction and we got it done.

Chair Robinson was glad that he was stepping up; he suggested that **P. Hale** stretch his knowledge base beyond the working waterfront, as his expertise could touch upon other aspects of the MP process.

CASEY HAYWARD, AT 5:41 PM, MOVED TO APPOINT PHIL HALE TO THE MASTER PLAN STEERING COMMITTEE. SAID MOTION WAS SECONDED BY CHERYL DOBLE. THE BOARD VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION: 4 AYES, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSTENTIONS. MS. ALEXANDER—AYE, MS. DOBLE—AYE, MS. HAYWARD—AYE & MR. ROBINSON—AYE.

Chair Robinson would send along the correspondence for the other interested party to **SC Chair Famariss**; the SC could make that membership determination.

b. Informal Discussion with Scott Young re: second curb cut on Shubael Weeks path AP 52A6.11

Documents on file as referred to during the meeting: Scotty Young Plot Plan-Shubael Weeks Path.pdf

Scott Young, homeowner, explained the challenges of his property which included a very steep grade and the reasons why there were two [needed] driveways. Through a Special Ways discussion he found out there was a ‘one curb cut’ rule – the second driveway had already been created, he was told a Special Permit was needed even though this would be *after the fact*.

Neighbor **Steve Kelly**, said the lot scared many because of the topography. He was in support of **Mr. Young** in pursuing this; they were excellent stewards.

Chair Robinson said the concerns were of protecting the Special Way, preserving the unique character. The next step would be to apply for a Special Permit; the Board would conduct a site visit before the hearing; he recommended to Mr. Kelly that he should attend the hearing.

c. Upcoming Citizen Planner Training Collaborative Conference March 18, 2023

Chair Robinson said this was in person and an all day commitment at the College of the Holy Cross. It was an opportunity to help us as planners understand the world we were planning and encouraged participation. The subject matter ranged from basic understanding to new issues, eg. marijuana dispensaries; it also bolstered the Town’s insurance. He said it was a great experience to meet others around the State and discuss their planning issues. **A. Upton** would provide information to the Board and touch base with other Island towns for interest and participation; a Town vehicle would be used.

d. MassDot 5 Corners 25% presentation

Chair Robinson said this would be a Zoom presentation on January 30, 2023 at 6:30 PM; he was asking the State for materials ahead of time. The TPB needed to understand how this fit into the big picture as the State looked at their road and not beyond. This was the opportunity to review how this fit into the broader context and encouraged Members to attend.

e. Discussion of bylaw amendments for 2023 Town Meeting and Annual Town Meeting Warrant

The date needed to be set for the Public Hearings; all were in agreement to schedule them on February 15, 2023. Notices would be sent to the two local papers to meet the timeline requirements. An additional working/review session would be included on the agenda for the January 25, 2023 meeting.

f. Kurt Fraser letter

Chair Robinson said the draft was in the office but felt more was needed; he wanted to convey in a better, way how saddened we were and that this was a big loss. **C. Alexander** would assist to structure the letter and have it finalized by the 25th.

g. Finance and Advisory Committee Meeting

Schedule to come/TBD.

h. Advertisement for Associate Planning Board Position

Chair Robinson said this should run for two weeks in the two local papers; interested parties should submit letters; **A. Upton** would coordinate with Human Resources to make this happen.

- i. **Bills Payable - 51Art - \$750.00, payroll - \$3,659.65, Communication Ambassador Partnership - \$405.00, TOTAL \$4,814.65**

Chair Robinson said there were many outstanding bills that were not posted and would be included on the January 25, 2023 agenda. The 51art number was amended to \$1351.25; the revised total was \$5415.90. **A. Upton** recapped the Communication Ambassador Partnership bill as this was for translation services for the MP process.

CHERYL DOBLE, AT 8:17 PM, MOVED TO APPROVE THE BILLS PAYABLE [REVISED TOTAL OF \$5415.90]. SAID MOTION WAS SECONDED BY CONNIE ALEXANDER. THE BOARD VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION: 4 AYES, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSTENTIONS. MS. ALEXANDER—AYE, MS. DOBLE—AYE, MS. HAYWARD—AYE & MR. ROBINSON—AYE.

B. OLD BUSINESS

- I. **Joint Meeting with Select Board, discuss lists for discussion**
- II. **Town Report**
- III. **All Island Planning Board Schedule**
- IV. **West Tisbury Planning Board joint meeting**
- V. **Upcoming TPB Calendar: Public Hearings, Appointments & Discussions**
 - *Set up special meetings for:*
 - *All Island Planning Board*
 - *Joint meeting with the Select Board*
 - *Joint meeting with the West Tisbury Planning Board*
 - *Public Hearings for bylaw amendments for 2023*
 - *February 15, 2023*

1. Committee Reports (Updates only)

- A. **Vision Forum**
- B. **Land Bank Advisory Committee**
- C. **Tisbury Housing Committee**
- D. **Community Preservation Committee**
- E. **Water Resource Committee (WRC)**

Chair Robinson said the Committee would meet tomorrow to continue working on the Comprehensive Wastewater Management Plan (CWMP); the guidance from the State continued to change; discussion continued for the Town's position and the Board of Health. He said no matter how things moved forward there was a serious cost component. There were lots of questions; the MP would help guide the process and decision making; a joint meeting of boards would help bring together how everyone was thinking.

- F. **Sewer Advisory Committee**
- G. **Site Plan Review Board**
- H. **Open Space and Recreation Committee (OSRC)**

C. Doble said they met with **D. Doyle** and reviewed the technical proposal that came from the West Tisbury Complete Streets Committee; they walked the old Holmes Hole Road and saw many challenges with that connection; they would set up a meeting with this Committee to discuss this issue further. The next OSRC meeting would review the past year of work and what had fallen through the cracks and why; and to set up a manageable series of priorities.

I. All Island Planning Board

- J. Climate Committee
- K. Tisbury Waterways Committee

CORRESPONDENCE:

1. Fred Rundlet email of interest to Planning Board

Chair Robinson said he expressed interest to work on housing issues and asked the TPB to find the best fit for him, **Chair Robinson** suggested, perhaps, a housing task force with the MVC when/if that would be created.

2. Letter from Cynthia Aguilar

Chair Robinson said follow-up and next steps with the West Tisbury Planning Board were necessary, as there were critical needs to address including access for fire/emergency vehicles. *(Please see document on file for details: APPROVED Minutes TPB JOINT MEETING with WTPB November 30, 2022.pdf.)*

3. Martha's Vineyard Commission Extended Meeting Schedule & Land Use Planning Commission (LUPC) Meeting(s)

4. Letter from Tom McCurdy re: Renewal of Special Permit AP10B01

Chair Robinson said this came up for renewal every five years; he would need to apply through the Special Permit Process; it should be a basic procedure.

5. Letter from Mr. Wiesner re: Joint meeting with West Tisbury

Other business not reasonably anticipated 48 hours in advance of meeting:

Members discussed the contract renewal for **D. Doyle**, giving him glowing reviews for his part of the overall MP process, and stated that this was one of the smarter things the TPB had done.

CHERYL DOBLE, AT 5:21 PM, MOVED TO APPROVE THE RENEWAL OF THE ONE YEAR CONTRACT FOR DAN DOYLE. SAID MOTION WAS SECONDED BY CONNIE ALEXANDER. THE BOARD VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION: 4 AYES, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSTENTIONS. MS. ALEXANDER—AYE, MS. DOBLE—AYE, MS. HAYWARD—AYE & MR. ROBINSON—AYE.

ADJOURNMENT:

DISCUSSION ENSUED THEREON, UPON A MOTION DULY MADE BY CHERYL DOBLE AND SECONDED BY CONNIE ALEXANDER, THE MEETING UNANIMOUSLY ADJOURNED AT 8:34 PM.

Respectfully submitted;



Teresa Kruszewski, Recorder

APPROVAL: Approved and accepted as official minutes;

Date

Benjamin Robinson, Chair

APPROVED MARCH 1, 2023