

## DESIGN REVIEW COMMENT AND RESOLUTION FORM



PROJECT NO.: 607411

DESCRIPTION: Tisbury-Beach Road

DESIGNER: Greenman-Pedersen, Inc.

SUBMITTAL: 25% Revised

REVIEW SECTION: D5-Traffic

PROJ. MANAGER Tom Currier

CONSULTANT EVALUATION SCORE:

DATE: February 2, 2016

REVIEWER NAME: Keri A. Gallagher

NO.	SHEET OR ITEM	COMMENT	INITIAL ACTION	RESPONSE	QC REVIEW INITIAL	FINAL ACTION VERIFIED
COMPLETED BY REVIEWER			COMPLETED BY DESIGNER			BY REVIEWER
1	23	STA. 19+70 WB - R3-17 & R3-17a signs (bike lane AHEAD), consider placing these signs ahead of the bike lane	A	The R3-17a [AHEAD] plaque is confusing because the bike lane begins at this location and have been removed. R3-17/R3-17a sign assembly has been installed in advance at Sta. 20+60 LT.	TQN	
2	23	STA. 19+00 EB - consider a separate curb cut for bikes entering the shared use path, instead of having bikes use the WCR.	A	Due to limited right of way and close proximity of the driveway, the proposed shared used path (SUP) cannot be extended to Sta. 19+00 EB and have a separate curb cut for bikes. However, the ramp opening at Sta. 20+00 has been extended (widened) in each direction to provide better maneuvering for an eastbound bike to enter the SUP.	TQN	
3	23	STA. 20+15 WB consider tapering the SWEL from the 2 foot shoulder to the 4 foot shoulder	A	This SWL was deleted by mistake. It has been added.	TQN	
4	24	STA. 24+15 to 20+09 - correct the SWEL to show a 2 foot shoulder	A	This SWL was deleted by mistake. It has been added.	TQN	
5	23-24	STA. 20+00 - this crosswalk is on a curve. Have sight distances been checked for the posted speed limit?	A	Yes. The sight distance of 200' have been checked for the posted speed limit design speed of 30 MPH. FYI, sight distance easement has been proposed on both sides of the WCR to achieve required 200' sight distance.	TQN	

COLUMN "NO." PREFIX FOR COMMENT NO'S - PLANS =P, SPEC. PROVS=S, EST.=E, CALC BOOK=C, BRIDGE CALCS=D, OTHER = O  
 "ACTION" A=WILL INCORPORATE, B=WILL EVALUATE, C=DELETE COMMENT

6	23-26	Crosswalks - advanced warning signs are needed for all crosswalks	A	In accordance to the MUTCD, advanced crosswalk warning signs W11-2/W16-9p are optional. In order not to clutter the sidewalk and especially the shared used path with signs, the crosswalk located on the curved segment shall have the optional advanced warning signs. One additional advanced warning sign is proposed on the westbound approach to the project limits (Sta. 38+25±LT)	TQN	
7	25-26	Crosswalks @ STA. 29+21, 31+17, & 35+74 are missing WCR on the northern side	A	The Town would like to see crosswalks in these locations where there is no sidewalk across the street. We have provided accessible landing areas for these WCR's.	TQN	
8	25-26	Are the above mentioned crosswalks justified?	A	These are existing crosswalk locations.	TQN	
9	26	STA. 32+20 LT - remove the leader with prop. Bike Lane Marking (TYP.)	A	This typographical error has been deleted.	TQN	